# **MEAM 520**

# Haptic Rendering and Teleoperation


Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D.

General Robotics, Automation, Sensing, and Perception Lab (GRASP)

MEAM Department, SEAS, University of Pennsylvania



Lecture 17: November 15, 2012







Haptic Rendering

# Surface Properties: Hardness

How do you program a one-D virtual wall?




### Standard Surface Rendering in 3D



test  $(\vec{x}_h - \vec{x}_s) \cdot \hat{u}_n = |\vec{x}_h - \vec{x}_s||\hat{u}_n|\cos\theta = d$ 

# Standard Surface Rendering in 3D




$$d = (\vec{x}_h - \vec{x}_s) \cdot \hat{u}_n$$

#### Calculate proxy position

if 
$$d \geq r_p$$

$$\vec{x}_p = \vec{x}_h, \vec{F} = \vec{0}$$

# Standard Surface Rendering in 3D



$$d = (\vec{x}_h - \vec{x}_s) \cdot \hat{u}_n$$

#### Calculate proxy position

if 
$$d < r_p$$

$$\vec{x}_p = \vec{x}_h - d\hat{u}_n + r_p \hat{u}_n$$

$$\vec{F} = -k_s (d - r_p) \hat{u}_n$$

Limited to about 2 N/mm




### Surface Properties: Hardness


Why would you want to make a wall feel harder? How could you make a wall feel harder?

- Buy a better haptic interface.
- Perhaps try nonlinear stiffness.
- Add damping perpendicular to the plane, but only on the way in.
- Add an event-based force transient perpendicular to the plane for a short time after contact. The magnitude of the transient should scale with the magnitude of the perpendicular velocity.

A sample custom haptic device



































$$\tau_m = k_p(\theta_d - \underline{\theta_m}) + k_d(\omega_d - \underline{\omega_m})$$
















$$\Delta\theta_m = 1.8^{\circ} \cdot \frac{51200 \text{ counts}}{360^{\circ}} = 256 \text{ counts}$$
















```
PostMessage(win, WM_DESTROY, MULL, MULL);
              force bias initialize - true;
             ULStot - cbC7266Config (QUAD_BOARD_NUM, MOTOR_ROT, X4_QUAD, NORMAL_MODE, BINARY_ENCODING,
                     INDEX DISABLED, DISABLED, CARRY BORROW, DISABLED);
              LoodValue - 880000:
             LLStot = cbClood32 (QUAD_ROARD_NUM, (QUNT1, LoodVolue);
             ULStat = cbCLoad32 (QUAD_BOARD_NUM, CDUNT2, LoadVolue);
             ULStat = cbCload32 (QUAD_BOARD_NUM, (OUNT3, LoadValue);
             ULStat = cbCLoad32 (QUAD_BOARD_NUM, COUNT4, LoadVolue);
             ULStat = cbCLoad(OUAD_BOARD_NUM, PRESCALER1, 1):
             ULStat = cbClood(QUAD_BOARD_NUM, PRESCALER2, 1);
             ULStat - cbCLoad(OUAD BOARD NUM, PRESCALERS, 1):
             ULStat = cbCload(QUAD_BOARD_NIM, PRESCALER4, 1):[]
              // Get the high resolution counter's occuracy.
              QueryPerformanceFrequency(&ticksPerSecond);
              sprintf(clockResult, "There are $164d ticks per second", ticksPerSecond.QuadPart);
              smand((unstgned)time(NULL));
              SetTimer(win, 0, GRAPHIC_LPDATE_PERIOD, MILL);
             g_MosticThread.Stort(HAPTICS_UPDATE_PERIOD, Hostic_Function, MULL);
             return 8:
       case WM_MOUSEMOVE:
             SetCursor(LoadCursor(NULL, IOC_ARROW));
             return 8;
       case WM_DESTROY :
             a MasticThread.Stop();
```


```
void __stdcoll Hoptic_Function(void *pv)
        static double timer - 0; // Used as a timer for several different purposes.
        // *** TIMING ***
        // Cache the time of the previous haptic function call.
        lastTime = thisTime;
       // Find out what time it is now. This information facilitates occurate velocity calculation.
        QueryPerformanceCounter(&thisTime);
        // Calculate time since last call in clock cycles and then convert to seconds.
        deltoTime.QuadPart = (thisTime.QuadPart - lastTime.QuadPart);
        deltaTimeS = (float) deltaTime.LowPart / (float) ticksPerSecond.QuadPart;
        // *** FORCE/TOROUS MEASUREMENTS ***
        for (1-8 : 1<7 : 1++) {
               filteredRowVoltage[i] = LowPass1((double)1.8/(2.8*P1*58.8), deltaTimeS, (double)tempRowVol*
$tage[i], (double)filteredRowVoltage[i]);
        if ((force_bias_initialize) && (filter_wait > 58))
               if (Number_of_Samples < MAX_NUMBER_OF_SAMPLES) {
                      for (int CONV_r = 0; CONV_r < 7; CONV_r++) {
                             VoltageBiasTemp[CDNV_r][Number_of_Samples] = filteredRawVoltage[CDNV_r];
                      Number of Samples++;
 (DOS) knob #7, #1, #5, cpp | 63% L918 | (C++ Abbrev)
```


```
// *** MOTOR CONTROL ***
        lastPosDeg = curPosDeg:
        ULStat = cbCIn32 (QUAD_BOARD_NUM, MOTOR_ROT, &rot_cts);
        rot_cts_signed = rot_cts;
        curPos = rot_cts_signed - LoadValue;
        curPosDeg = curPos / CTS_PER_DEG;
        // Check for freek position reads - if change is too much, discard this reading, and use the last ?
one.
        if (fabs(curPosDeg - lostPosDeg) > 1) {
               curPosDea = lastPosDea:
        // Compute velocity and low-pass filter.
        unfiltVelDeg = (curPosDeg - lastPosDeg) / deltaTimeS;
        curVelDeg = LowPoss1(1/(2*PI*50), deltaTime5, unfiltVelDeg, curVelDeg);
        if(fobs(FTValues[8])>200 || fobs(FTValues[1])>200 || fobs(FTValues[2])>500 || fobs(FTValues[3])>150
400 || fabs(FTValues[4])>1500 || fabs(FTValues[5])>2000) {
               desPosDeg = curPosDeg;
               desVelDeg - curVelDeg;
               current - 0;
               voltage - 0;
               // Calculate the proxy's position and velocity during a trial for all of the different stall
Stes.
               switch (state) {
               case waitingForParameters:
               case ready:
                       proxyPosDeg = 0;
                       proxyVelDeg - 0;
                       // Next trial will start soon. Keep proxy at its current position, sitting still.
                       proxyPosDeg = proxyPosDeg;
                       proxyVelDeg = 0;
(DOS)** knob 87, 81, 85, cpp 69% L1081 (C++ Abbrev)
```


```
Cotfeedback 7 'D' : 'd', proprioceptiveFeedback 7 'P' : 'p', toctileFeedback 7 'T' : 't', commondPowDeg, co P'
(mand/it.dthDeg);
        fprintf(output_file, "subjectNumber = Nd;\w\w", subjectNumber);
        fprintf(output_file, "setNumber = %d;\n\n", setNumber);
        fprintf(output_file, "trialNumber = %d:\n\n", trialNumber);
        fprintf(output file, "linefeedback = %d; 'n'n", linefeedback);
        fprintf(output_file, "dotFeedback = %d;\n\n", dotFeedback);
        fprintf(output_file, "proprioceptiveFeedback = %d;\m\n", proprioceptiveFeedback);
        fprintf(output_file, "toctileFeedback + %d;\n\n", toctileFeedback);
        forintf(output file, "commandAssition = Md; \n\n", commandPasDeg);
        fprintf(output_file, "commandWidth = %d; \n\n", commandWidthDeg);
        fprintf(output_file, "proxyAdmittonce = %f;\n\n", proxyAdmittonce);
        fprintf(output_file, "k = M(:\n\n", k):
        fprintf(output_file, 'b - M;\\\\', b);
        // Write the real time vector.
        fprintf(output_file, "clockTicksPerSecond = %184d;'\n\n", ticksPerSecond);
        fprintf(output_file, "tClock - [");
        for(i=0; i<dataIndex; i++) {
                fprintf(output_file, "%I646\t", timeArray[i]);
        fprintf(output_file, "]' - %I64d; \n", timeArroy[0]);
        fprintf(output_file, "t = tClock / clockTicksPerSecond;'vn'vn');
        // Write time-varying data.
        fprintf(output_file, "socVoltage = [");
        for(i=0; i<dotoIndex; t++) {
               fprintf(output_file, TRIBINE', docVoltageArray[i]);
        fprintf(output_file, "]':\n\n");
        fprintf(output_file, "fingerForce = [");
        for(i=0; i dotoIndex; i++) {
               fprintf(output_file, "%.9f\t", fingerForceArray[i]);
        fprintf(output_file, "]':\s\s");
        fprintf(output_file, 'notorPosition = [');
        for(i=0; i=dotoIndex; i++) (
               fprintf(output_file, %.9f\t', motorPositionArray[i]);
        fprintf(output_file, "l':\n\n");
(005) - knob_87_81_85.cpp 93% L1345 (C++ Abbrev)
```













# Haptic Virtual Environment



# Haptic Remote Environment









## **Teleoperation**

extends the reach of the human hand








# Mechanical Teleoperation



## Mechanical Teleoperation







# Modern Teleoperation



### Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Surgery



(Intuitive Surgical, Inc., 1998)

# Teleoperation Reading

#### 31. Telerobotics

#### Günter Niemeyer, Carsten Preusche, Gerd Hirzinger

In this chanter we present an overview of the field of telerohotics with a focus on control aspects. Motivated by an historical prespective and some challenging applications of this research area a classification of control architectures is given, including an introduction to the different strategies. An emphasis is taken on bilateral control and force feedback, which is a vital research field today. Finally we suggest some literature for a closer engagement with the topic of telerobotics.

31.1	Overview	74
31.2	Telerobotic Systems and Applications	
	31.2.1 Historical Perspective	74
	31.2.2 Applications	74

	31.3	Control Architectures	746	
		31.3.1 Supervisory Control	746	
		31.3.2 Shared Control	748	
		31.3.3 Direct		
		and Bilateral Teleoperation	749	
	31.4	Bilateral Control and Force Feedback	751	
		31.4.1 Position/Force Control	751	
		31.4.2 Passivity and Stability	752	
		31.4.3 Transparency		
		and Multichannel Feedback	753	
		31.4.4 Time Delay		
		and Scattering Theory	754	
L		31.4.5 Wave Variables	754	
3	31.5	Conclusions and Further Reading	754	
,				

#### 31.1 Overview

Telerobotics is perhaps one of the earliest aspects of least conceptually split into two sites; the local site with robotics. Literally meaning robotics at a distance, it is the human operator and all elements necessary to supgenerally understood to refer to robotics with a human port the system's connection with the user, which could operator in control or human-in-the-loop. Any highlevel, planning, or cognitive decisions are made by the devices, and the remote site, which contains the robot human user, while the robot is responsible for their and supporting sensors and control elements. mechanical implementation. In essence, the brain is

to very large or small environments. All barriers have (Chap. 30). in common that the user cannot (or will not) physically reach the environment.

be joysticks, monitors, keyboards, or other input/output

To support this functionality, telerobotics integrates many areas of robotics. At the remote site, to operate the Herein the term tele, which is derived from the Greek robot and execute the human's commands, the system and means distant, is generalized to imply a barrier may control the motion and/or forces of the robot. We between the user and the environment. This barrier is refer to Chaps. 6 and 7 for detailed descriptions of these overcome by remote-controlling a robot at the environareas. Also, sensors are invaluable (Chap. 4), including ment, as indicated in Fig. 31.1. Besides distance, barriers force sensors (Chap. 19) and others (Part C). Meanwhile, may be imposed by hazardous environments or scaling at the local site information is often displayed haptically


A recent addition to telerobotics is the use of computer networks to transmit information between the two While the physical separation may be very small, sites. This is the focus of Chap, 32 and opens up new poswith the human operator and the robot sometimes occupying the same room, telerobotic systems are often at be shared between multiple users or a single user may

Springer Handbook of Robotics Siciliano, Khatib (Eds.) · ©Springer 2008

G. Niemeyer, C. Preusche, and G. Hirzinger. Telerobotics. Chapter 31 in Springer Handbook of Robotics, Siciliano and Khatib, Eds., pp. 741-757. 2008.


Provides a good introduction to the topic of teleoperation, including discussions of varying levels of remote robot autonomy and different control schemes for achieving force feedback.


### **Teleoperation**



- Teleoperation has always been tightly intertwined with robotics, especially manipulators.
- Control system design is a primary concern:
  - Stability
  - Transparency

### **Teleoperation**







How do we want this system to behave?


How should we connect the sensors and actuators of the master and slave to make the system behave well?


#### Position-Forward Control







#### Position-Force Control






#### Position-Position Control





#### Position-Position Control



- With two impedance-type (backdrivable) devices, the most common controller is position-position, also known as position exchange.
- Each device has a desired state (position and velocity), which is computed from measured states.
- Separate controllers try to make each device achieve its desired state by using the motors to output forces.

Name	
	Midterm Exam

MEAM 520, Introduction to Robotics University of Pennsylvania Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D.

November 8, 2012

You must take this exam independently, without assistance from anyone else. You may bring in a calculator and two  $8.5^{\circ} \times 11^{\circ}$  sheets of notes for reference. Aside from these two pages of notes, you may not consult any outside references, such as the textbook or the Internet. Any suspected violations of Penn's Code of Academic Integrity will be reported to the Office of Student Conduct for investigation.


This exam consists of several problems. We recommend you look at all of the problems before starting to work. If you need clarification on any question, please ask a member of the teaching team. When you work out each problem, please show all steps and box your answer. On problems involving actual numbers, please keep your solution symbolic for as long as possible; this will make your work easier to follow and easier to grade. The exam is worth a total of 100 points, and partial credit will be awarded for the correct approach even when you do not arrive at the correct answer.

	Points	Score
Problem 1	20	
Problem 2	20	
Problem 3	15	
Problem 4	20	
Problem 5	25	
Total	100	


I agree to abide by the University of Pennsylvania Code of Academic Integrity during this exam. I pledge that all work is my own and has been completed without the use of unauthorized aid or materials.

Signature					
Ü					
D /					


#### Midterm Overall




#### Problem I (Short Answers)




#### Problem 2 (Homogeneous Transformations)




#### Problem 3 (Inverse Orientation Kinematics)





#### Problem 5 (Inverse Position Kinematics, Jacobian, Singularities)



Students

#### MEAM.Design: MEAM520 - Introduction to Robotics

View Logout Edit Upload

NERAL.	Ca	lendar				
of Feme orstones		Date	Topic (Linked to Lecture Stides)	Reading	Assignments Due	Project Deadlines
dact Info	01	Thu, 9/6	Course Logistics and Motivation			
	02	Tue, 9/11	Rotation Matrices	B.1, 2.1-2.3		
JRSES	03	Thu, 9/13	Homogenous Transformations	2.4-2.8		
NM 101	04	Tue, 9/18	Manipulator Kinematica	1.1-1.3, 3.1	HWG1 (Fiving Box)	
NM 205	05	Thu, 9/20	DenovitHadenberg (OH)	3.2		
MX 410/618	06	Tue, 9/25	More Denayb Hartenberg (DH)	3.2		
NM 520	07	Thu, 9/27	Inverse Kinematos (RQ	3.3, 3.4	HW62 (SCARA Robot)	
501	08	Tue, 10/2	More Inverse Kinematos (NO	3.3		
ST	09	Thu, 10/4	PUMA 250 and Project 1			
	10	Tue, 10/9	More Manipulator Kinematics	3.3	HM03,PUMA.FK.+.SCARA.IK)	PUMA Light Painting: Teams
065		Thu, 10/11	No lecture - project work time			
rtals.	31	Tue, 10/16	Velocity Kinematos	4.6		PUMA Light Painting: IK
Cutting	12	Thu, 10/18	More Velocity Kinematics	48,49,411,41	2	
mang		Tue, 10/23	No lecture - fall break			
string.	13	Thu, 10/25	From Simulation to Reality			PubMA Light Painting, Stroylation
TRAK	-	Tue, 10/30	No lecture - hurricane			
A.260	14	Thu, 11/1	Robot Trajectories	5.1, 5.2	HW04 (Jacobians) due Fildey	
NToM	15	Tue, 116	Robot Hardware	6.1.62		Public Light Pointing: Reality
peduars		Thu, 11/8	Middern Exam (Solution)			
VARM	16	Tue, 11/13	Haptic Intertace Handware	KJK-MS		
Qel .	17	Thu, 11/15	Teleoperation			PUMA Light Painting: Seekly
ONET	18	Tue, 11/20	ANNO 12 CARANTA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		H9905 (Phanson)	
and the same of		Thu, 11/15	No lecture - Thanksgiving			
WARE.	Dick	e all terms on	o due at 5:00 p.m. unless otherwise s	operified)		
/Works	- proces		and the state of the state of the state of	p-p-result.		
10.	Re	sources				
an .	1000	za Fones				

Name\_Solution

#### Midterm Exam

MEAM 520, Introduction to Robotics University of Pennsylvania Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D.

November 8, 2012

You must take this exam independently, without assistance from anyone else. You may bring in a calculator and two 8.5"×11" sheets of notes for reference. Aside from these two pages of notes, you may not consult any outside references, such as the textbook or the Internet. Any suspected violations of Penn's Code of Academic Integrity will be reported to the Office of Student Conduct for investigation.

This exam consists of several problems. We recommend you look at all of the problems before starting to work. If you need clarification on any question, please ask a member of the teaching team. When you work out each problem, please show all steps and box your answer! On problems involving actual numbers, please keep your solution symbolic for as long as possible; this will make your work easier to follow and easier to grade. The exam is worth a total of 100 points, and partial credit will be awarded for the correct approach even when you do not arrive at the correct answer.

	Points	Score
Problem 1	20	
Problem 2	20	
Problem 3	15	
Problem 4	20	
Problem 5	25	
Total	100	

I agree to abide by the University of Pennsylvania Code of Academic Integrity during this exam. I piedge that all work is my own and has been completed without the use of unauthorized aid or materials.

Signature			
Date			

Look over your exam and compare with the solution.

If you think we made a mistake in grading your test, write out an explanation on a separate piece of paper.

Give your written inquiry and your test to Philip.

We will correct any grading mistakes.

# Approximate grade breakdown **A+** 96 **A** 89 **A-** 83 **B+** 78 **B** 73 **B-** 66 **C+** 60 **C** 54 **C-**

Please make an appointment to talk with me if you got less than a 55/100.