texture synthesis

Given an input sample texture synthesize a texture that is sufficiently different from the given sample texture, yet appears perceptually to be generated by the same underlying stochastic process.



True (infinite) texture generated image

Slides taken from A. Efros & L. Svetlana

















Political Texture Synthesis!

Bush campaign digitally altered TV ad

President Bush's campaign acknowledged Thursday that it had digitally altered a photo that appeared in a national cable television commercial. In the photo, a handful of soldiers were multiplied many times.



Classification of texture

Traditionally textures has been classified as:

- regular : repeated textons
- stochastic without explicit textons





both?



Some previous approaches

- multi-scale filter response histogram matching [Heeger and Bergen,'95]
- sampling from conditional distribution over multiple scales [DeBonet,'97]
- filter histograms with Gibbs sampling [Zhu et al,'98]
- matching 1st and 2nd order properties of wavelet coefficients [Simoncelli and Portilla,'98]

These methods focus on both `synthesis' and `analysis'

Methods for purely synthesis

- goals:
 - preserve local structure
 - model wide range of real textures

•method:

- inspired by N-gram language model of Shannon, texture is modelled as Markov Random Field (MRF)
- texture is "grown" one pixel at a time
- conditional pdf of a pixel given its neighbors
 synthesized thus far is estimated by searching the the sample image for similar neighborhoods

Statistical modeling of texture

- Assume stochastic model of texture (*Markov Random Field*)
- Stationarity: the stochastic model is the same regardless of position





stationary texture

non-stationary texture

Statistical modeling of texture

- Assume stochastic model of texture (*Markov Random Field*)
- Stationarity: the stochastic model is the same regardless of position
- Markov property:
 p(pixel | rest of image) = p(pixel | neighborhood)



N-gram model of the English language

Shannon: Model language as a generalized Markov chain, where a set of n letters (words) completely determine the pdf of the next letter (word).

Results (using <u>alt.singles</u> corpus) [Mark V. Shaney]:

"One morning I shot an elephant in my arms and kissed him."

"I spent an interesting evening recently with a grain of salt "

Assuming Markov property, texture can be modeled as a MRF

Efros & Leung Algorithm



output image

Idea initially proposed in 1981 (Garber '81), but dismissed as too computationally expensive!

Efros & Leung Algorithm



Synthesizing a pixel

- Assume Markov property, sample from P(p|N(p))
 - Building explicit probability tables infeasible
 - Instead, we search the input image for all sufficiently similar neighborhoods and pick one match at random

Finding matches

• Sum of squared differences (SSD)



Finding matches

- Sum of squared differences (SSD)
 - Gaussian-weighted to make sure closer neighbors are in better agreement



Details

- Random sampling from the set of candidates vs. picking the best candidate
- Initialization
 - Start with a few rows of white noise and grow in scanline order
 - Start with a "seed" in the middle and grow outward in layers
- Hole filling: growing is in "onion skin" order
 - Within each "layer", pixels with most neighbors are synthesized first
 - Normalize error by the number of known pixels
 - If no close match can be found, the pixel is not synthesized until the end

Growing texture on pixel at the time



•User defined window size indicates the randomness of the texture

- •To grow from from scratch a 3x3 random seed from the sample is used
- Unless no close match is found pixels with most neighbors are synthesized first
- Importance of Gaussian-weighted similarity measure

Neighborhood window size / Randomness parameter





More Synthesis Results









<u></u>		
		5
	┶╤╍╤┶	
י בביר		

Increasing window size-



reptile skin



aluminium wire





French canvas



rafia weave





granite







More results

white bread





Constrained synthesis









Visual comparison

Synthetic tilable



Simple tiling



Our approach

[DeBonet, '97]

Failure cases



Growing garbage



Verbatim copying

Homage to Shannon

r Dick Gephardt was fai rful riff on the looming : nly asked, "What's your tions?" A heartfelt sigh story about the emergen es against Clinton. "Boy g people about continuin ardt began, patiently obs s, that the legal system k g with this latest tanger

1ae ւզ ով utonu lithenly

thaim. them ."Whephartfe lartifelintomimen iel ck Clirticout omaim thartfelins,f oùt 's aneite the ry onst wartfe lck Gephtoomimeationl sigab Chiooufit Clinut Cll riff on, hat's yordn, parut tly : ons voontonsteht wasked, paim t sahe loo riff on l nskoneploourtfeas leil A nst Clit, "Wieontongal s k Cirtioouirtfepe.ong pme abegal fartfenstemem itiensteneltorydt telemephinsberdt was agemer. ff ons artientont Cling peme as artfe atich, "Boui s hal s fartfelt sig pedrihdt ske abounutie aboutioo tfeonewwas your aboronthardt thatins fain, ped, ains, them, pabout wasy artint countly d, In A h ole emthrängboomme agas fa bontinsyst Clinut : ory about continst Clipeopinst Cloke agatiff out (stome minemen fly ardt beoraboul n, thenly as t C cons faimeme Diontont wat coutlyohgans as fan ien, phrtfaul, "Wbout cout congagal comininga: mifmst Cliny abon al coountha emungaint tf oun Whe looorystan loontieph. intly on, theoplegatick (iul fatiesontly atie Diontiomf wal s f thegae ener nthahgat's enenhimas fan, "intchthory abons y

Constrained text synthesis

ilHe years od itself, at haripp?" Thes haroedat n ipp?' Tripp?'s coms," ars ol come f, at "that nd al conical oncat at lasticaf itself,s," as Lewing last fal cout it becomes harder to laundailf, a r roed itse round itself, at "this daily nd itself Heft a Leving rooms," as House Dene loms da eving rouescribed it last fall. He failian Acom itsees' arout he left a ringing questioned itself ," as Hounore years of Monica Lewing 'ars oroast fal'a rinda Tripp?" That now seeng itse.ndi quest he Political comedian Al Fran 2d itiewi t faiame lext phase of the story will. H. He fa 'ars ore years datn. He fast nbos Houng questio inginda Tripp?", g questica rone lears omioouse ouëcolitical conoca Lewingtow se last fall. He

mag ming is y answou, biomes i uff oeckem er rdt s thinine æful n ht b ariont wat fab: thensis at stealy obou, pepry coving th the tinsensatiomem h emenar Dick Gephardt was fainghart kes fal rful riff on the looming # at tlyo ecophonly asked, "What's yourtfelt sig abes fations?" A heartfelt sigh rie abou erdt systory about the emergene about eat bokes against Clinton. "Boyst com dt Geng people about continuins artin riff opardt began, patiently obsleplem out thes, that the legal system hergent ist Cling with this latest tangemem rt mis youist Cfut tineboohair thes aboui yonsighstethst Chhtht's' tlyst Chinth sigergemetforh that thek & the le em

Throws a cco "w onio, "Wees " "All H" meo lng oe_n ft" ", ast if ", "stheif? ", "tot rsultar roccc" oh t He if ", "theif? ", "tot rsultar roccc" oh t He if ", "theif? ", "tot rsultar roccc" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar roccc" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar roccc" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar rocce" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar rocce" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar rocce" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot rsultar rocce" oh t He if ", stheif? ", "tot if ", "ille round itself, at "this daily to " "ime n ress in coneving rooms," as House Denvineah tot ', "tot as escribed it last fall. He fail: A. ... I 'stor rerout he left a ringing questions ", " 'in tobmore years of Monica Lewimerard" If arit tyinda Tripp?" That now seeticas braitin niomoft Political comedian Al Frameft howidianobimext phase of the story willefait 'w sof L le a Tiat a ' a ' i' fl'te t et ccais, deese if 'w i' ror't ing de "them" ", euit 1 astur 1" ason, at a 'mee't as 100, " and to tot a '' anocodeaery, at a the ch i' and a as '' tostcca' labut at allows ", "

ound itself, at "this daily i ving rooms," as House Der escribed it last fall. He fail ut he left a ringing question ore years of Monica Lewin inda Tripp?" That now seer Political comedian Al Fran

ut it becomes harder to lau

ext phase of the story will

r Dick Gephardt was fai rful riff on the looming a nly asked, "What's your tions?" A heartfelt sigh story about the emergen es against Clinton. "Boy g people about continuin ardt began, patiently obs s, that the legal system h g with this latest tanger

Chaos Mosaic [Xu, Guo & Shum, '00]



idea

result

Process: 1) tile input image; 2) pick random blocks and place them in random locations 3) Smooth edges

Used in Lapped Textures [Praun et.al,'00]



- Idea: let's combine random block placement of Chaos
 Mosaic with spatial constraints of Efros & Leung
- Unit of synthesis is a block
- Exactly the same but now we want P(B|N(B))
- Much faster: synthesize all pixels in a block at once





Input texture

block



Random placement of blocks Neighboring blocks constrained by overlap

Minimal error boundary cut







Minimal error boundary

overlapping blocks





vertical boundary





overlap error



min. error boundary

The Philosophy

- The "Corrupt Professor's Algorithm":
 - Plagiarize as much of the source image as you can
 - Then try to cover up the evidence
- Rationale:
 - Texture blocks are by definition correct samples of texture so problem only connecting them together

Algorithm

- Pick size of block and size of overlap
- Synthesize blocks in raster order



Search input texture for block that satisfies overlap constraints (above and left)

- Paste new block into resulting texture
 - use dynamic programming to compute minimal error boundary cut

























































Comparison



input image



Portilla & Simoncelli



Xu, Guo & Shum



Wei & Levoy

Comparison

input image

Portilla & Simoncelli

Xu, Guo & Shum

Wei & Levoy

Homage to Shannon

describing the response of that neuron ht as a function of position—is perhap functional description of that neuron. seek a single conceptual and mathem escribe the wealth of simple-cell recept ind neurophysiologically¹⁻³ and inferred especially if such a framework has the it helps us to understand the function leeper way. Whereas no generic modussians (DOG), difference of offset C rivative of a Gaussian, higher derivati function, and so on—can be expected imple-cell receptive field, we noneth

input image

hal fill has an alle states to an an an in and and Star 2 and a freinfall antering . 2144 Athan THE TRANSFORT THE ORALIN national ties, the bare in finis 2 stanilli Charlen and and States sunt- -st We white State of all all and an all ** Fi Er 1120. 114 iefi muf tour all in ernets "umpetajatetbili po ore Jupim er et ilist it us de Auzza andeit : It II ferait trend bafe Bail GHLIL- gils bie-arter Budd birt בנותה א אבוונותופיו נוגר אזמון, למטולאייות האוו to per atinge dent antan antanto rion f te tintt spenimine Hi ft rime ulterster. di Sta

Portilla & Simoncelli

coles nnunnee tiamm, nelole ewiomsir o car es eince, esoeao so ecrcecid rep lacy ropits so. in. ones, so. in. m int mm euogrs e-1-cesiaie at fy a ceisromesencore mce dsione neientu- eice sectmn at eisnerhaus nheiathn-cicenniaimnein-cephreoe nse onorass 18 if emn. hal dell eleucoronn fittlymr rd thon cingare troocuscer tfrience's fulssion u one mo ale n si omiooesi ___a nœ indeite ne wen tunnting ftped oile-can usinsnnlm nf intru oorennn de nirm (Trenenss nmt

Wei & Levoy

des and mathem: spraussiant int neuron-the in des and mathem: spraussiant int neuronthe sple-cell recepted so splerous int as a fund and inferred to eptivising t function so neurophysiol interviewer in the seek a sesspecially if succussians on all describe ind helps us to uirivative, single d neuron eeeper way. We function, cell it issians (DOG) imple-cell ight at neuron tussians (DOG) fiset (use is an is perhaleepet is gher derivative) field an mather ivat conceptual and him seek d, cell rece fund the fischer d an mather ivat conceptual and him seek d, cell rece fund the fischer d an is perha

Xu, Guo & Shum

sition—is perk a single conceptual and of that neuribe the wealth of simpleual and matheurophysiologically¹⁻³ and simple-cell recially if such a framewor y¹⁻³ and inferilps us to understand the amework has perhay. Whereas no get and the fumeurorDOG), difference of a no generic a single conceptual and m rence of offse the wealth of simple-ce , higher deriescribing the response of t —can be expess a function of positionhelps us to understand thription of the per way. Whereas no gonceptual an sians (DOG), differencealth of simple

Failures (Chernobyl Harvest)

Texture transfer

Take the texture from one object and "paint" it onto another object

- This requires separating texture
 and shape
- That's HARD, but we can cheat
- Assume we can capture shape by boundary and rough shading

Idea: just add another constraint when sampling: similarity to underlying image at that spot

Correspondence can be based on: image intensity, blured image intensity, local image orientation angles, etc...

There is a **tradeoff** between the legitimacy of synthesized texture and the correctness of the correspondence mapping.

parmesan

Source texture

Target image

Source correspondence image

Target correspondence image

Applications of texture synthesis and transfer

- Occlusion fill-in
 - for 3D reconstruction
- region-based image and video compression
 - a small sample of textured region is stored
- Texturing non-developable objects
 - growing texture directly on surface
- Motion synthesis
- Synthesizing and transferring music and environmental sounds?
- Rendering object in a different style without explicit 3D information

Kwatra et al, 2003

Actually, for this example, DP will work just as well...

Graph cuts (simple example à la Boykov&Jolly, ICCV'01)

Minimum cost cut can be computed in polynomial time (max-flow/min-cut algorithms)

Kwatra et. al. 2003 - Algorithm

(assume cut region is 3x3 for simplicity)

Sample Texture

Kwatra et. al. 2003 - Results

Lazy Snapping (Li el al., 2004)

(c) Grandpa (4/2/11)

(d) Twins (4/4/12)

Interactive segmentation using graphcuts