More Mosaic Madness



© Jeffrey Martin (jeffrey-martin.com)

with a lot of slides stolen from Steve Seitz and Rick Szeliski Slides taken from Alexei Efros

Least squares: Robustness to noise



Problem: squared error heavily penalizes outliers

Silvio

<u>Fitting</u>

Goal: Choose a parametric model to fit a certain quantity from data

Techniques:

Least square methods

• RANSAC

Hough transform



Basic philosophy (voting scheme)

- Data elements are used to vote for one (or multiple) models
- Robust to outliers and missing data
- Assumption1: Noise features will not vote consistently for any single model ("few" outliers)
- Assumption2: there are enough features to agree on a good model ("few" missing data)

RANSAC

(RANdom SAmple Consensus) : Learning technique to estimate parameters of a model by random sampling of observed data

 δ

Fischler & Bolles in '81.





- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set

Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found



- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?] = [2]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set
- Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

Silvio



- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?] = [2]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found



 $|\mathbf{0}| = 14$

Algorithm:

- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?] = [2]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set

Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

Silvio



- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set

Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

How many samples?

- Number of samples N
 - Choose N so that, with probability p, at least one random sample is free from outliers (e.g. p=0.99) (outlier ratio: e)
- Initial number of points s
 - Typically minimum number needed to fit the model
- Distance threshold $\boldsymbol{\delta}$
 - Choose δ so probability for inlier is p (e.g. 0.95)
 - Zero-mean Gaussian noise with std. dev. σ : t²=3.84 σ ²

$$N = \log(1-p) / \log(1-(1-e)^s)$$

	proportion of outliers <i>e</i>						
S	5%	10%	20%	25%	30%	40%	50%
2	2	3	5	6	7	11	17
3	3	4	7	9	11	19	35
4	3	5	9	13	17	34	72
5	4	6	12	17	26	57	146
6	4	7	16	24	37	97	293
7	4	8	20	33	54	163	588
8	5	9	26	44	78	272	1177

Source: M. Pollefeys

Solve the following for N:

$$1 - (1 - (1 - e)^{s})^{N} = p$$

Where in the world did that come from?



- c probability that a point is an outlier
- s = number of points in a sample
- N = number of samples (we want to compute this)
- p = desired probability that we get a good sample



$$1 - (1 - (1 - e)^{s})^{N} = p$$

Probability that one or more points in the sample were outliers (sample is contaminated).

$$1 - (1 - (1 - e)^{s})^{N} = p$$

Probability that N samples were contaminated.

$$1 - (1 - (1 - e)^{s})^{N} = p$$

Probability that at least one sample was not contaminated (at least one sample of s points is composed of only inliers). Choose *N* so that, with probability *p*, at least one random sample is free from outliers. e.g. p=0.99

$$(1 - (1 - e)^s)^N = 1 - p$$

$$N = \frac{\log(1-p)}{\log(1-(1-e)^{s})}$$

proportion of outliers e

S	5%	10%	20%	25%	30%	40%	50%
2	2	3	5	6	7	11	17
3	3	4	7	9	11	19	35
4	3	5	9	13	17	34	72
5	4	6	12	17	26	57	146
6	4	7	16	24	37	97	293
7	4	8	20	33	54	163	588
8	5	9	26	44	78	272	1177

- n = 12 points
- Minimal sample size S = 2
- 2 outliers: $e = 1/6 \Rightarrow 20\%$
- So N = 5 gives us a 99% chance of getting a pure-inlier sample
 Compared to N = 66 by trying every pair of points



- We have seen that we don't have to exhaustively sample subsets of points, we just need to randomly sample N subsets.
- However, typically, we don't even have to sample N sets!
- <u>Early termination</u>: terminate when inlier ratio reaches expected ratio of inliers

$$T = (1 - e) * (total number of data points)$$

Rotation about vertical axis



What if our camera rotates on a tripod? What's the structure of H?

Do we have to project onto a plane?



Full Panoramas

What if you want a 360° field of view?



Cylindrical projection



Map 3D point (X,Y,Z) onto cylinder

$$(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X^2 + Z^2}} (X, Y, Z)$$

- Convert to cylindrical coordinates $(sin\theta, h, cos\theta) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$
- Convert to cylindrical image coordinates

 $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = (f\theta, fh) + (\tilde{x}_c, \tilde{y}_c)$



Cylindrical Projection





Inverse Cylindrical projection



 $\theta = (x_{cyl} - x_c)/f$ $h = (y_{cyl} - y_c)/f$ $\hat{x} = \sin \theta$ $\hat{y} = h$ $\hat{z} = \cos \theta$ $x = f\hat{x}/\hat{z} + x_c$ $y = f\hat{y}/\hat{z} + y_c$

Cylindrical panoramas



Steps

- Reproject each image onto a cylinder
- Blend
- Output the resulting mosaic

Cylindrical image stitching



What if you don't know the camera rotation?

- Solve for the camera rotations
 - Note that a rotation of the camera is a translation of the cylinder!

Assembling the panorama

•			

Stitch pairs together, blend, then crop



Vertical Error accumulation

- small (vertical) errors accumulate over time
- apply correction so that sum = 0 (for 360° pan.)

Horizontal Error accumulation

• can reuse first/last image to find the right panorama radius

Full-view (360°) panoramas



Spherical projection



• Map 3D point (X,Y,Z) onto sphere

$$(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}} (X, Y, Z)$$

- Convert to spherical coordinates $(\sin\theta\cos\phi,\sin\phi,\cos\theta\cos\phi) = (\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z})$
- Convert to spherical image coordinates $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = (f\theta, fh) + (\tilde{x}_c, \tilde{y}_c)$



Spherical Projection





Inverse Spherical projection



3D rotation

Rotate image before placing on unrolled sphere



Full-view Panorama



Other projections are possible



You can stitch on the plane and then warp the resulting panorama

• What's the limitation here?

Or, you can use these as stitching surfaces

• But there is a catch...

Cylindrical reprojection



top-down view

Focal length – the dirty secret...









Image 384x300

f = 180 (pixels)

f = 280

f = 380

What's your focal length, buddy?

Focal length is (highly!) camera dependant

• Can get a rough estimate by measuring FOV:



- Can use the EXIF data tag (might not give the right thing)
- Can use several images together and try to find f that would make them match
- Can use a known 3D object and its projection to solve for f
- Etc.

There are other camera parameters too:

• Optical center, non-square pixels, lens distortion, etc.

Distortion



Radial distortion of the image

- Caused by imperfect lenses
- Deviations are most noticeable for rays that pass through the edge of the lens

Radial distortion

Correct for "bending" in wide field of view lenses



$$\hat{r}^{2} = \hat{x}^{2} + \hat{y}^{2}$$

$$\hat{x}' = \hat{x}/(1 + \kappa_{1}\hat{r}^{2} + \kappa_{2}\hat{r}^{4})$$

$$\hat{y}' = \hat{y}/(1 + \kappa_{1}\hat{r}^{2} + \kappa_{2}\hat{r}^{4})$$

$$x = f\hat{x}'/\hat{z} + x_{c}$$

$$y = f\hat{y}'/\hat{z} + y_{c}$$

Use this instead of normal projection

Polar Projection

Extreme "bending" in ultra-wide fields of view



 $\hat{r}^2 = \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2$

 $(\cos\theta\sin\phi,\sin\theta\sin\phi,\cos\phi) = s\ (x,y,z)$

uations become

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= s\phi\cos\theta = s\frac{x}{r}\tan^{-1}\frac{r}{z}, \\ y' &= s\phi\sin\theta = s\frac{y}{r}\tan^{-1}\frac{r}{z}, \end{aligned}$$



Camera calibration

Determine camera parameters from *known* 3D points or calibration object(s)

- 1. *internal* or *intrinsic* parameters such as focal length, optical center, aspect ratio: *what kind of camera?*
- 2. external or extrinsic (pose) parameters: where is the camera in the world coordinates?
 - World coordinates make sense for multiple cameras / multiple images

How can we do this?

Approach 1: solve for projection matrix

Place a known object in the scene

- identify correspondence between image and scene
- compute mapping from scene to image



$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Direct linear calibration

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve for Projection Matrix ∏ using least-squares (just like in homework)

Advantages:

- All specifics of the camera summarized in one matrix
- Can predict where any world point will map to in the image

Disadvantages:

- Doesn't tell us about particular parameters
- Mixes up internal and external parameters
 - pose specific: move the camera and everything breaks

Approach 2: solve for parameters

A camera is described by several parameters

- Translation T of the optical center from the origin of world coords
- Rotation R of the image plane
- focal length f, principle point (x'_c, y'_c), pixel size (s_x, s_y)
- blue parameters are called "extrinsics," red are "intrinsics"

Projection equation



- The projection matrix models the cumulative effect of all parameters
- Useful to decompose into a series of operations

identity matrix

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} -fs_x & 0 & x'_c \\ 0 & -fs_y & y'_c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{3x3} & \mathbf{0}_{3x1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1x3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{3x3} & \mathbf{T}_{3x1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1x3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

intrinsics projection rotation translation

• Solve using non-linear optimization

Multi-plane calibration



Images courtesy Jean-Yves Bouguet, Intel Corp.

Advantage

- Only requires a plane
- Don't have to know positions/orientations
- Good code available online!
 - Intel's OpenCV library: <u>http://www.intel.com/research/mrl/research/opencv/</u>
 - Matlab version by Jean-Yves Bouget: <u>http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/calib_doc/index.html</u>
 - Zhengyou Zhang's web site: <u>http://research.microsoft.com/~zhang/Calib/</u>